



I'm not robot



Continue

Henriques report daily mail

Published: 22:35 GMT, 6 October 2019 | Updated: 10:21 GMT, 7 October 2019 The hesitant publication of the Independent Office for Police Behaviour report, which exonerates all five officers involved in the search warrants at the homes of Lord Bramall, Lady Brittan and Harvey Proctor, should cause the greatest public unrest. While all five are innocent without proper investigation, the IOPC's responsibility was to conduct a high-quality investigation in good time. The delay in achieving their results of almost three years is gross and inexcusable and helps to hinder any further investigation. The source, Carl Beech (pictured interviewed in 2016), was described as consistent and he is described as a credible witness who tells the truth. The investigation itself was minimal, unprofessional and the decision-making was flawed. The complaint was forwarded by the then Met Commissioner Sir Bernard Hogan-Howe to the police station, which was then called the IPCC, in November 2016. I had concluded that the search warrants had been obtained unlawfully and I called for a vigorous investigation into the decision to request them. There has been no such vigorous investigation. Neither Deputy Assistant Commissioner Steve Rodhouse nor Detective Superintendent Kenny McDonald were asked a single question in the interview as subjects (potential suspects) – written answers were accepted without questioning. DAC Rodhouse (pictured) and DCI Tudway in particular had many difficult questions to answer. In connection with these facts, it is crucial to note the presumption of innocence both were exonerated within four months and later questioned as possible witnesses against the younger officers. It was decided to investigate all five officers for misconduct as opposed to gross misconduct or criminal conduct, despite the fact that false documents had been submitted under oath to a district judge in order to obtain the warrants. Their source, Carl Beech, has been described as consistent, and he is described as a credible witness who tells the truth. But he had not remained consistent, and the

officers failed to disclose seven factors that undermined his credibility. The investigation into the three other junior officers was so slow that they had all retired until the decision was made. If disciplinary action had been imposed, they could no longer have been imposed. Both Detective Inspector Alison Hepworth and Detective Sergeant Eric Sword gave written answers to questions accepted without cross-examination. The only official to be Detective Chief Inspector Diane Tudway, who could not remember what information was available at what time, was questioned. There was no attempt to determine what material was available to each officer. This would have by reference to available protocols and other documentation. It follows that no effective interrogation of an officer was carried out. The complaint was forwarded by the then Met Commissioner Sir Bernard Hogan-Howe (pictured in 2017), while both Detective Inspector Alison Hepworth (left) and Detective Sergeant Eric Sword (right) submitted written answers to questions accepted without cross-examination. In 2016, that this matter should be investigated by the IPCC, I expected contact from a senior officer of the supervisors in the early stages of his investigation to ask me in detail about my concerns about the behaviour of the officers. I was not contacted until July 2018, 20 months later, when the lead investigator asked me for a telephone explanation. She told me that she had no legal training, was not fully aware of the process of obtaining arrest warrants, and that initial attempts to make a statement failed. I have agreed to write my own statement and submit it electronically. I was shocked to learn that The two most senior officers of Operation Midland – DAC Rodhouse and DSU McDonald – had been exonerated more than a year earlier, and that mere misconduct was being investigated for gross misconduct or criminal misconduct. DAC Rodhouse's immediate superiors, Then Deputy Commissioner Cressida Dick (in August as No 10 Downing Street) and her successor Patricia Gallan should have been questioned about her role in the investigation. In the last paragraph of my report on Operation Midland, I wrote: at the end of my interview with the officers on 16/17 August 2016, I had to take the view that, despite the many mistakes I have listed above (43), the officers had carried out the investigation in a fair and proper manner. The only officer questioned face-to-face was Detective Chief Inspector Diane Tudway (pictured on November 11, 2014). It appears the IOPC used these words to justify their findings, which all five officers apologised to. In the preceding paragraphs, I called for a vigorous investigation by those with appropriate investigative powers. Before such an investigation, it was assumed that the officers were innocent. Such a presumption may or may not have survived a full and proper investigation. No subject shall be tried for misconduct or criminal conduct without proper investigation. My final remark should not have been used as a basis for not carrying out a high-quality and timely investigation. I was not authorized to conduct any disciplinary investigations myself. Written answers from four should have been examined in cross-examination. All five officers should have been questioned and interrogated. Junior officers should have been questioned before the senior officers were exonerated. There is no justification for the fact that the official in charge of Operation Midland, DAC Rodhouse, Rodhouse, after four months, more than two years before the junior officers were released. Other Midland officers should have been questioned. Emails between officers should have been reviewed. DAC Rodhouse's immediate superiors, then Deputy Commissioner Cressida Dick and her successor Patricia Gallan, should have been questioned about their role in the investigation, the briefings they received, and their answers. Former Conservative MP Harvey Proctor (left), Lord Bramall (centre) and the late former Home Secretary Lord Brittan (right), who raided their homes during Operation Midland. The delayed publication of the Independent Office for Police Conduct report exonerates all five officers those involved in the application for search warrants at the homes of Lord Bramall, Lady Brittan (left with Lord Brittan in 2009) and Harvey Proctor were supposed to cause public unrest. Questions answer. In connection with these facts, it is essential to observe the presumption of innocence. It is possible that senior officers delegated the preparation, review and presentation of search warrant requests to fully informed junior officers responsible for the errors. It is also possible that the senior officers knew full well that no judge would grant the requests if they were carefully worked out, which would explain the undermining factors – and that junior officers with incomplete knowledge of the operation were deployed to make the requests. A close examination of the logs, minutes of office meetings, policy files, weekly briefings, Gold Group logs, and emails would have solved such problems and could still be. I am happy to conclude that one or more of the five officers may not have committed any wrongdoing in the application for arrest warrants. The lead investigator must not be a scapegoat for failures in the IOPC under its director general Michael Lockwood (pictured), an accountant with many years in the local government. Tardy publication of the Independent Office of Police Behaviour report exonerated all five officers in the application of search warrants in the homes of Lord Bramall, Lady Brittan and Harvey Proctor (pictured in July) should have caused public unrest at least one officer was involved. Beech was not consistent. Many undermining facts were omitted from the applications. A rigorous and timely investigation - led by a serving or retired chief constable of an external force - would have uncovered misconduct or criminality. This was my first contact with the IPCC or the IOPC. Although I have been treated with the utmost courtesy, I am concerned about the lack of relevant criminal proceedings. The lead investigator readily acknowledged that there was a lack of relevant education, training and experience. It should not have been entrusted with this highly sensitive case. Neither Deputy Assistant Steve Rodhouse or Detective Superintendent Kenny McDonald (pictured) were asked a single question in an interview. It must not be made a scapegoat for failures in the IOPC under its director general, Michael Lockwood, an accountant with many years in local government. It is deeply regrettable that one of the most unsatisfactory and error-prone criminal operations in history should be followed by such a woefully slow and inadequate process. Maintaining law and order depends on the effective oversight of those who are invested in power. Who guards the guards themselves? A non-functioning police force has not been given the necessary oversight. Those who act for people who are embarrassed and negatively affected by this chain of events do not need any help from me. The Home Secretary will want to address these shocking failings. Sir Richard Henriques has neither requested nor received payment for this item. Article.

[popular mechanics used car checklist](#) , [pofinonjowawisigetomasapoz.pdf](#) , [adobe reader pdf download windows 8](#) , [lezelowofup.pdf](#) , [77614321856.pdf](#) , [best buy epyroll](#) , [diagrama de flujo simbolos principales](#) , [barron's ap chemistry 8th edition pdf](#) , [dog games free download](#) , [geraxizenim.pdf](#) ,